	ision Review			Agency/Program #: 5301-50-I1 Division: Permitting and Compliance Program:				
Agency Name: Agency Contact: LFC Contact: LFD Liaison: Department of Environmenta Steve Welch Representative Ripley, Repre			al Quality 444-4964					
OBPP Liaison: Eileen Rose						444-1338		
Program or P	oject Description	:						
Appropriation, Expenditure and Source								
	200		200		Approp & F	Approp & Expenditure		
Fund Name:	Approp.			Expended		imbers are as of		
General Fund	li le , e le .		Approp.			31, 2007		
State Special								
Federal Funds								
Total:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
	ration of water impac	cts.						
1. Time to review		ld be reduced from the availability should be re						
1. Time to review 2. New rules and	v an application shou I guidelines for water	availability should be r			Completi	ion Dates		
1. Time to review 2. New rules and	an application shou	availability should be r				ion Dates		
1. Time to review 2. New rules and 2. New rules and 2009 Bienniur	v an application shou I guidelines for water	availability should be r			Completi	ion Dates		
1. Time to review 2. New rules and 2009 Bienniur	v an application shou I guidelines for water	availability should be r			Completi	ion Dates		
1. Time to review 2. New rules and 2. New rules and 2009 Bienniur	v an application shou I guidelines for water	availability should be r			Completi	ion Dates		

o L	<u> </u>
I	Performance Report: See attached report from OBPP
	See attached report from OBPP
L	
	LFD Narrative:
L E	LFD Narrative: EXECUTIVE CHANGES:
E	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved.
E	EXECUTIVE CHANGES:
- -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated.
- -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT:
- -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated.
- - L	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes.
- - L	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an upda
E L - r	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available.
E	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an update regarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES:
- - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No
- - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an update regarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES:
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an update garding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an update garding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an updategarding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No
- - - - - -	EXECUTIVE CHANGES: Changes to goals/initiatives: Yes, goal was improved. Change to performance measures: Yes, the performance measure regarding water availability rules was eliminated. LFD ASSESSMENT: Goal is measureable within the biennium: Yes. Progress towards Goal: Warning. The information addresses the reduced workload per employee, but does not provide an update garding the average processing time. This data should be routinely available. APPROPRIATION ISSUES: Appropriation/Expenditures provided: No Other appropriation issues: No



Version	Date	Author
5301-50-I1-CW-1	12/6/07	Smith

Change Description
Added LFD Narrative, inserted goal



Goals/Objectives

Agency Contact: Steve Welch/Terry Lazure **Phone Number:** 444-4964/2855

Agency Name: Department of Environmental Quality

Division: Permitting & Compliance

Program (identify and briefly describe): DP 5014 Subdivision Review FTE

List a single goal and brief description:

The goal is to improve customer service with faster turn-around time on the review and approval of subdivision applications and to provide a more detailed consideration of water impacts.

Describe the performance measures related to this goal:

Reduce the time to review an application from the current 60 days to 50 days or less.

List significant milestones and target dates to be completed in the 2009 Biennium:

With the added staff and decreased workload per FTE we should be able to start improving our review and approval timeframes. Currently all staff are meeting the 60-day deadline. During the 2009 Biennium our goal is to hit a 55-day average turnaround for all review submittals. Staff are working to develop an electronic tracking system that will allow better monitoring of submittal and response data for both staff reviews and applicant responses.

Describe the current status of the measurements related to the goal:

The additional FTE positions were filled during the first half of FY07. As a result we have seen the average annual application reviews per FTE drop from 133 to 84. Efforts continue to design and implement a review time tracking system.